The Necessity of Separation from Heresy

A number of years ago there was a joke circulating that went like this:

Q: How can a man avoid falling hair?
A: Jump out of the way!

This is also a formula for avoiding heresy. One must “jump out of the way” whenever it comes near. The biblical doctrine of separation is founded upon a verse that appears in both the Old and New Testaments: *Come ye out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord.* (2 Cor. 6:14; Is. 52:11)

For the past several hundred years, as the world has become increasingly evil and corrupt, believers from various fundamentalist groups clung to the principles of biblical separation. By the mid-20th century, this focus was sometimes reduced to a list of “don’t do’s” – forbidden activities that a good Christian shouldn’t participate in such as gambling, dancing, drinking, going to movies, etc. An emphasis on separation in terms of external appearance and worldly conduct is a worthy one and biblically solid. But there is much more to biblical separation.

The Bible also warns about separation from IDEAS – the philosophies, beliefs, teachings and writings of worldly or pagan men. Historically, many fundamentalist groups chose to separate from un-Christian ideas by forbidding or discouraging attendance at secular institutions of higher education. This is because universities have traditionally been the arena where the new philosophies entered into a culture. Today’s homeschool movement is just one example of separating one’s children from a government-sponsored teaching culture that is antithetical to orthodox Christianity.

How the Evangelicals Repudiated Separation

Few Christians know the history of the modern evangelical movement. Nor do they realize that the “repudiation” of the biblical doctrine of separation became a central tenet of evangelicalism. The abandonment of separation was a planned exercise by leaders. The historical evidence below shows that this doctrine’s untimely demise created a new era of lax standards and engagement with the world.

Dr. Harold John Ockenga (1905-1985) has been called “The Father of New Evangelicalism.” Dr. Ockenga was a highly esteemed and credentialed Presbyterian minister who served as the first president of the National Association of Evangelicals. He coined the term “neo-evangelical,” which means “new evangelical.” He explained, in the Foreword to Dr. Harold Lindsell’s book *The Battle for the Bible* (1976), how neo-evangelicalism was created:

Neo-evangelicalism was born in 1948 in connection with a convocation address which I gave in the Civic Auditorium in Pasadena. While reaffirming the theological view of fundamentalism, this address repudiated its ecclesiology and its social theory. The ringing call for a *repudiation of separatism* and the summons to social involvement received a hearty response from many evangelicals. . . . It differed from fundamentalism in its determination to *engage itself in the theological dialogue of the day*. It had a new emphasis upon the application of the gospel to the sociological, political, and economic areas of life . . .

Neo-evangelicals emphasized the *restatement of Christian theology in accordance with the need of the time*, the *reengagement in the theological debate*, the recapture of denominational leadership, and the *reexamination of theological problems* such as the antiquity of man, the universality of the flood, God's method of creation, and others. [emphases added]

In an earlier press release dated December 8, 1957, Dr. Ockenga made the following statement:

The New Evangelicalism has changed its strategy from one of separation to one of infiltration. Instead of static front battles, the new theological war is one of movement. Instead of attack upon error, the New Evangelicals proclaim the great historic doctrines of Christianity. . . . The strategy of the New Evangelicalism is the positive proclamation of truth in distinction from all errors without delving in personalities which embarrass error. [emphasis added]

Pastor John E. Ashbrook authored a book critical of neo-evangelicalism’s “repudiation of separation.” Entitled *New Neutralism II: Exposing the Gray of Compromise* (Here I Stand Books, 1992), it is well-documented history of this “repudiation of separation.” His book is also a biblically-based analysis of the ensuing neo-evangelical compromises that took place over the next 40-50 years. Pastor Ashbrook based his critique on the following biblical premise:

Separation is God’s prescription for treating the disease of apostasy. It is not ours to repudiate, for it is a divine command, not a human idea. (p. 5)

In his 1957 press release, Dr. Ockenga described six organizational foundations upon which New Evangelicalism was built:

Since I first coined the phrase “The New Evangelicalism” at a convocation address at Fuller Theological Seminary ten years ago, the evangelical forces have been welded into an
organizational front. First, there is the National Association of Evangelicals which provides articulation for the movement on the denominational level; second, there is World Evangelical Fellowship which binds together these individual national associations of some twenty-six countries into a world organization; third, there is the new apologetic literature stating this point of view which is now flowing from the presses of the great publishers, including Macmillans and Harpers; fourth, there is the existence of Fuller Theological Seminary and other evangelical seminaries which are fully committed to orthodox Christianity and a resultant social philosophy; fifth, there is the establishment of Christianity Today, a bi-weekly publication, to articulate the convictions of this movement; sixth, there is the appearance of an evangelist, Billy Graham, who on the mass level is the spokesman of the convictions and ideal of the New Evangelicalism. Modern evangelicals don’t know about this history, nor do they understand how comprehensively organized this movement was from its very inception. The neo-evangelical movement has now impacted Christian groups far outside the parameters of the evangelical subculture. This is because neo-evangelical leaders focused their attentions on changing Christian attitudes and beliefs through literature, media, colleges, and superstar personalities – in other words, they disseminated new ideas. Pastor Ashbrook took note of Ockenga’s worldly goals for neo-evangelicalism:

From its inception new evangelicalism has been determined to impress the world with its intellect. It has craved the respect of academia. It has determined to earn the plaudits at the fountainheads of secular learning. Why should this be a goal for the Christian?

. . . . The goal was to be published by publishers that the world respected. . . . [T]his craving for acceptance shows itself . . . in the desire to make the gospel more palatable to the natural man. New evangelicism made it acceptable to water down the gospel. (p. 8-10)

Today, over fifty years later, we can see the devastating consequences of neo-evangelicalism’s accommodation to the culture. Neo-evangelicalism has thoroughly embraced many worldly philosophies and practices. The recent phenomenon of “post-modern” evangelicalism, which merges neo-paganism with neo-evangelicalism (e.g., the Emergent Church) is an indication of how far this “repudiation of separation” has come. The new post-modern, paganized philosophies of Leonard Sweet, Dallas Willard, Jay Gary, Larry Crabb, Richard Foster and many others are rapidly making inroads into Christianity via the evangelical mass media, and college and Bible school courses.

It seems obvious that a “repudiation of separation” would be the first necessary step to break down the church’s ability to function as “salt” and “light” in a dark and lost world. Separation is much more than the physical act of avoiding or removing oneself from a sinful activity. Believers are also warned in Scripture to separate from ideas. Separation is an act of the mind and spirit, not just the flesh. In fact, one must first separate mentally, because to linger one’s thoughts upon a temptation is just as serious as committing the sin itself. Adultery is one example: But I say unto you, That whosoever looketh on a woman to lust after he hath committed adultery with her already in his heart. (Matthew 5:28) Covetousness is another example.

Old Testament Separation from IDEAS

In the Old Testament, God separated unto Himself Israel, which was to be a holy and righteous nation. There were moral and ceremonial laws pertaining to this separation. The sacrificial system was markedly different from the idolatry of pagan cultures, whose religious systems involved human sacrifice. Even food, clothing and agriculture were to be practiced differently and distinctively by the Hebrews. J.C. Wenger, in his classic book, Separated Unto God (Herald Press, 1951) observed:

All of these ceremonial regulations, whether of food, of clothing, of agriculture, or of sacrifices, were not ends in themselves, possessing intrinsic merit, but were vivid symbols of the fact that Israel was a holy nation, belonging to Jehovah, dedicated to holiness of life, to faith and obedience, and called to exhibit the character of God here on earth. To this truth the prophets of Israel again and again tried to recall the children of Israel.

In the book of Deuteronomy, the Lord forewarned His people to separate from false prophets, idolatry and pagan practices. Chapter 13 and 18:9-14 describe the occult practices which are to be avoided. Deuteronomy 28:17-20 warns of those whose heart turneth away this day from the LORD our God, to go and serve the gods of these nations. Notice that the seduction of idolatry begins in the heart.

There are many Old Testament verses that apply to biblical separation, particularly guarding one’s mind and heart, and refraining from taking a worldly path. Two antidotes to worldliness are 1) Wisdom from instruction in God’s Word and 2) physically removing oneself from temptation.

Wherewithal shall a young man cleanse his way? by taking heed thereto according to thy word. With my whole heart have I sought thee; O let me not wander from thy commandments. Thy word have I hid in mine heart, that I might not sin against thee. (Psalm 119: 9-11)

My son, walk not thou in the way with them; refrain thy foot from their path; For their feet run to evil, and make haste to shed blood. (Prov. 1:15-16)

When wisdom entereth into thine heart, and knowledge is pleasant unto thy soul; Discretion shall preserve thee, understanding shall keep thee: To deliver thee from the way of the evil man, from the man that speaketh froward things. (Prov. 2:10-12)
Be not wise in thine own eyes: fear the Lord, and depart from evil. Envy thou not the oppressor, and choose none of his ways. (Prov. 3:7, 31)

Enter not into the path of the wicked, and go not in the way of evil men. Avoid it, pass not by it, turn from it, and pass away. (Prov. 4:14-15)

Keep thy heart with all diligence; for out of it are the issues of life. . . . Let thine eyes look right on, and let thine eyelids look straight before thee. . . . Turn not to the right hand nor to the left: remove thy foot from evil. (Prov. 4:23, 25, 27)

Remove thy way far from her, and come not nigh the door of her house. (Prov. 5:8)

During Israel’s national time of great apostasy the Lord pleaded with His people through His prophets to return unto Him with their whole heart. In Joel 2:12b-13a, the Lord tells apostate Israel: Turn ye even to me with all your heart, and with fasting, and with weeping, and with mourning: And rend your heart, and not your garments.

Psalm 106:34-37 summarizes what happened when God’s people did not obey Him: They did not destroy the nations, concerning whom the LORD commanded them: But were mingled among the heathen, and learned their works. And they served their idols: which were a snare unto them. Yea, they sacrificed their sons and their daughters unto devils. This progression into apostasy is a direct result of abandoning holy separation. Note the parallels to modern neo-evangelicalism’s slide into apostasy:

1) They did not destroy the nations. In the New Testament this is no longer a literal mandate, but rather believers are called to share the Truth of the Gospel with heathen nations. Practicing biblical separation serves as a hedge of protection for missionaries going into such pagan cultures. Without this doctrine, there is no protection. In its place neo-evangelical leaders substituted the doctrine of “contextualization,” which is dialectic integration.

2) But were mingled among the heathen. The Israelites disobeyed God’s commandments and began worshipping idols and intermarrying with heathen women. When Dr. Ockenga called for evangelicalism to “engage itself in the theological dialogue of the day” he placed an emphasis on the word “dialogue.” “Dialogue” is the mingling of ideas – a mixing of truth with error – i.e., integration. Pastor Ashbrook commented,

Notice that it is described as “dialogue,” not debate. A debate is a conflict which clarifies a position. A dialogue is a conversation which compromises a position. (p. 7)

3) They served their idols. Even King Solomon, the wisest man who ever lived, when he married heathen women was seduced into serving their idols. If Solomon couldn’t play with fire without getting burned, then how could a modern-day intellectual Christian fare any better? The history of neo-evangelicalism reveals that once the doors were opened to a “reengagement in the theological debate” and a “reexamination of theological problems,” a flood of new heretical doctrines entered in. Rather than being “salt” and “light” to the world, the neo-evangelicals let the world come in to corrupt the Gospel.

4) They sacrificed their sons and their daughters unto devils. In the Old Testament, babies were burned as human sacrifices unto the pagan deities. Likewise, Ockenga’s strategy of “infiltration” rather than “separation” has resulted in a noticeable rise in the disparagement of human life. This natural progression into idolatry reaches its zenith in actual participation in pagan rituals -- evidence of which can be found in various streams of neo-evangelicalism today.

New Testament Separation from IDEAS

Dr. Ockenga’s strategy of “infiltration” into the culture effectually operated like a public relations campaign. Setting up six “organizational fronts” guaranteed that the new ideas of neo-evangelicalism would permeate throughout the world through one “front” or another, particularly changing evangelical culture. One would have had to separate oneself from evangelicalism entirely in order not to be affected by this. Subsequent evangelical movements have also employed this conspiratorial and biblical strategy of “infiltration.”

“Infiltration” works both ways. The bad leaven described in the Bible also infiltrates. It introduces a tiny bit of error into the Truth of the Gospel. Galatians 5:7-9a speaks of leaven in the context of false teaching. Ye did run well; who did hinder you that ye should not obey the truth? This persuasion cometh not of him that calleth you. A little leaven leaveneth the whole lump. I have confidence in you through the Lord, that ye will be none otherwise minded: Note the reference to “not obey the truth.” Exposure to heresy results in lack of obedience to God’s Word. Also note “otherwise minded.” Seduction to heretical ideas begins with dabbling in philosophies and teachings that entice and lure the mind.

New Testament Scriptures contain many warnings to believers to separate from false teachings and false prophets. In 2 John 10 believers are commanded to physically separate from those who carry the leaven of heresy: If there come any unto you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed. For he that biddeth him God speed is partaker of his evil deeds. Tolerance is a popular teaching in neo-evangelical circles today, but this Scripture indicates that biblical separation is a grave matter, and that preserving one’s faith must be taken seriously.

Romans 1 vividly portrays the natural progression from worship of the Creator to the idolatry of worshipping the creature: God gave them over to a reprobate mind to do those things which are not convenient (vs. 28). The carnality of the mind leads directly to the carnality of the flesh. James 1:14-15 reiterates this point: But every man is tempted, when he is drawn away of his own lust, and enticed. Then when
lust hath conceived, it bringeth forth sin: and sin, when it is finished, bringeth forth death.

New Testament believers are to flee from idolatry and are warned about mixing paganism with the Gospel: *Ye cannot drink the cup of the Lord, and the cup of devils* (1 Cor. 10:14b, 21a). Paul asks in 2 Corinthians 6:15, *And what concord hath Christ with Belial? Or what part hath he that believeth with an infidel?* In our modern time there has been a revival of ancient paganism called the New Age movement. The occult practices that the Old Testament warned about are now widely popular in American mainstream culture. Believers should beware of the enticing nature of these beliefs and practices. Many pagan doctrines have been dressed up in new garb. They enter into a believer’s life through alternative medicine, meditative prayer practices, spiritual and physical “disciplines,” art and music, mysticism, and many other unusual ways.

These pagan doctrines are also coming in via neo-evangelicalism, a far more subtle and dangerous method of “infiltration.” Believers must test everything, including the words of those who claim to be Christian brothers. 2 Corinthians 11:3 warns, *But I fear, lest by any means, as the serpent beguiled Eve through his subtlety, so your minds should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ.* In 2 Corinthians 10:4, just a few verses earlier, Paul wrote, *Casting down imaginations, and every high thing that exalteth itself against the knowledge of God, and bringing into captivity every thought to the obedience of Christ.*

Believers are particularly vulnerable to the seduction of heresy when it comes from esteemed, respected or well-liked Christian leaders. The New Testament contains many warnings about leaders who teach heresy: false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ (2 Cor. 10:13). Colossians 2:8 warns: Beware lest any man spoil you through philosophy and vain deceit, after the tradition of men, after the rudiments of the world, and not after Christ. Today there are many false prophets who have gone out into the world that confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh (1 John 4:1-3). This verse has current relevance because there is a revived Gnosticism within neo-evangelicalism.

Paul also warns *Let no man beguile you of your reward in a voluntary humility and worshipping of angels, intruding into those things which he hath not seen, vainly puffed up by hisfleshy mind* (Col. 2:18). Perhaps 100 years ago this verse seemed strange. But it has new relevance in today’s neo-evangelical culture. “Voluntary humility” perfectly describes the neo-evangelicalism teaching for “voluntary simplicity” – a radical new lifestyle for global “sustainability.” And recent false teachings on spiritual warfare excessively emphasize angels and demons.

Ephesians 4 has much to say about biblical separation. Paul warns the Ephesians to henceforth walk not as other Gentiles walk, in the *vanity of their mind,* Having the understanding darkened, being alienated from the life of God through the *ignorance that is in them,* because of the *blindness of their heart:* who being past feeling have given themselves over unto lasciviousness, to work all uncleanliness with *greediness* (vs. 17b-19). Again, note the natural progression downhill. What begins in the mind, ends up manifesting in the flesh.

Paul advises the Ephesians to be renewed in the spirit of your *mind* (vs. 23). He lists positive examples of holy living that should accompany such a conversion. Paul also cautions about seduction by “vain words,” and warns believers to separate from those who would use them: *Let no man deceive you with vain words: for because of these things cometh the wrath of God upon the children of disobedience. Be not ye therefore partakers with the* (Eph. 5:6-7).

Many other New Testament Scriptures warn about beguiling with enticing words (Col. 2:4). It is important to recognize that these words are not just the spoken word of popular Christian media personalities (radio/TV) but also the written word in bestselling Christian books. These writings or teachings may appear “Christian” superficially because many Bible words are used. But if believers exercise godly discernment, as Scripture commands, they will be less vulnerable to this subtle type of seduction (1 John 2:28).

In addition to beguiling words, the Scriptures also warn about a profit motive for false prophets. There are many verses on this topic, including Eph. 4:19; Titus 1:10-16; and Hebrews 13:5. The bluntest warning is from 2 Peter 2:1-3:

> But there were false prophets also among the people, even as there shall be false teachers among you, who privily shall bring in damnable heresies, even denying the Lord that bought them, and bring upon themselves swift destruction. And many shall follow their pernicious ways; by reason of whom the way of truth shall be evil spoken of. And through covetousness shall they with feigned words make merchandise of you: whose judgment now of a long time lingereth not, and their damnation slumbereth not.

Separation is not a passive activity. To avoid heresy requires active resistance on the part of the believer. Sometimes a believer must physically flee from temptation. At other times the Lord would have believers speak out in defense of the Truth of the Gospel. And have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, but rather reprove them (Eph. 5: 11) Here Paul says that believers should “reprove them,” because all things that are reproved are made manifest by the light (Eph. 5:13). Jude 3 tells believers to earnestly contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints. The new “tolerance” ethic blunts this directive.

This neo-evangelical “repudiation of separation,” and its resultant seduction into heresy, is indicative of the condition of the Church in the last days. Jude 18 warns that there will be mockers in the last time who should walk after their own ungodly lusts. Paul prepared Timothy for the time will come when they will *not endure sound doctrine:* but after their
own lusts shall heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears; And they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables (2 Tim. 3-4). The sinful nature of man wants to justify sin, even to the extent of finding false teachers to teach ear-tickling fables. 1 Peter 1:13 advises believers to gird up the loins of your mind, be sober, and hope to the end for the grace that is to be brought unto you at the revelation of Jesus Christ. Peter further admonishes that the end of all things is at hand: be ye therefore sober, and watch unto prayer (vs. 4:7).

How to Avoid Heresy

Neo-evangelicalism relies upon marketing strategies to spread its leaven. For over a century American consumers have been trained by advertising to buy anything new – a new detergent, a new vacuum cleaner, a new style of dress, a new car, a new computer. In the same way, New Evangelicalism has advertised all of its doctrines, methods and teachings as “new.” There is therefore a very simple, easy way to avoid the heresies of neo-evangelicalism.

Avoid any NEW –

a. teaching.  
b. application  
c. term, word or phrase (esp. if not in Bible)  
d. concept and idea  
e. Bible version  
f. “understanding”  
g. revelation  
h. doctrine  
i. fad, popular craze, or bestseller

Questions every believer should ask about “new” spiritual things

a. Is it truly Biblical? Remember: even the New Agers and occultists are “spiritual.” Just because something is spiritual doesn’t make it Biblical!  
b. What is the origin of the concept? Do you know its roots? Can you check out its source freely? Is there a bibliography? Proper credit for ideas?  
c. Is it a man’s idea? A worldly idea?  
d. Does it conform to the whole counsel of God?  
e. Is it a “new” understanding? A reinterpretation of doctrine?  
f. Does it disparage traditional orthodoxy?  
g. Does it promise too much? Does it use hype?  
h. Does it require too much – especially methods, activities, obligations, works, formulas, rituals, etc.?  
i. Does it have a “guru” factor?

How to avoid being seduced by a spiritual “guru”

a. Do you have the freedom to politely disagree, question, or exercise discernment without being labeled as “divisive,” harassed, ostracized, banished, abandoned, kicked out of church, or shunned?

b. Is his way the only right way? Is he an elitist?  
c. Are there secret meetings where the contents cannot be divulged to outsiders? Are there secret teachings?  
d. Are there oaths, covenant, rites or pledges?  
e. Does he employ pity, excessive self-disclosure, or other emotive tactics to get your sympathies?  
f. Is he too firm in his assertions that go beyond Scripture?  
g. Does he have any critics? Is he too popular?  
h. Or, does he use his critics as a way to be a “martyr” to garner support and sympathy?  
i. Does he compromise – even in little areas – that lead open the possibility of leading sheep astray?  
j. Does he insert just a “little leaven” in his teachings?  
k. Does he make promises that go beyond the ones in the Bible? Does he claim extraordinary miracles?  
l. Does he play upon emotions? Spiritual experiences? Does he use salesman type hype?  
m. Is the Bible his final authority in all things? No matter what?  
n. Does he employ the use of diagrams, programs, methods, formulas, systems, charts, techniques, tactics, assessments, measurements, mantras, chants, walks, marches, circles, disciplines and other man-made contrivances to create more “spirituality”?  
o. Does he quote from the philosophies of men as if they were valid? Without disclaimer?  
p. Does he build a foundation upon another man’s questionable works?  
q. Does he bring in pagan ideas by sugar-coating them with Scriptures? Does he employ deception?  
s. Is he overly relational, relying on feelings language, or re-interpreting the Bible in psychological terms?  
t. With whom does he associate? Who are his peers? Do they put pressure on him to conform in ways that are ecumenical or require him to compromise his beliefs?  
u. With whom does he publicly appear? What organizations does he belong to? What conferences does he speak at? To whom or what is he linked?  
v. Who trained him? Who influences him? What sources outside Scripture have influenced him? Who were his Bible school professors and what influenced them?  
w. Does he push you to the point of being uncomfortable by bringing in ideas that may “desensitize” you to sin?  
x. Does he try to examine your motives, psycho-analyze you, or judge you in ways that go beyond Scripture?  
y. Does his personal life reflect holiness, righteousness and integrity? Can he bear up under close scrutiny?  
z. Does he bad talk, denigrate, or malign fundamentalism or biblical separation? Does he claim Christianity has been a dismal failure without his new program, doctrine, idea or system?

“How little children, keep yourselves from idols. Amen”

(1 John 5:21)
Discerning the Times

Conferences 2006

We are scheduling conferences for the New Year and would love to see you!

Speakers at the conferences include: Lynn & Sarah Leslie (Board of Discernment Ministries); Anton Bosch (Pastor & President of International Fellowship of Christian Believers); John Rubenstein (Sonlife Radio & Jimmy Swaggart Ministries); Gary Osborne (President of Alliance of Biblical Pentecostals); Steve Muse (Eastern Regional Ministries); Orrel Steinkamp (Editor of Plumbline); Jewel Grewe (Discernment Ministries). (Not all the speakers will be at all the conferences.

Topics to include: Is Psychology compatible with Christianity? Christian Futurists and the Church; Who is Transforming the Church? Dominionism and the Rise of Christian Imperialism; Mysticism and the Emerging Church; Ecumenism; Understanding Islam and others to be announced.

MARCH 3 & 4

FORT WORTH, TEXAS - Holiday Inn Forth Worth South – 100 Alta Mesa East Blvd., Room Reservations: 817-293-3088. For special rate, deadline is Feb. 17, 2006. (Mention that you are attending the Discernment Conference).

Special Music by the Olive Branch with Bob & Ramona Dicks.

Reservations: $10.00 per person (excluding students); luncheon costs $15.00 per day (please include with reservation cost per person). Reservations due by February 20.

See Conference Reservation Form

Which is enclosed!

MARCH 23 (p.m.) – 26 (a.m. service)

BURBANK, CALIFORNIA – Burbank Community Church - 3310 W. Magnolia Blvd., Burbank, CA (Burbank (Bob Hope) Airport is easily accessible). When you register, we will send you a list of hotels in the area. For directions to the church – call 818-846-5520.

Special Music by Jerry Pryde

Reservations: $30.00 per person. Meals: $12.50 per day. (Please include with reservation cost per person.) Reservations due by March 1.

This conference is being held simultaneously with the International Fellowship of Christian Believers Annual Conference.

MARCH 31 – APRIL 1

KALAMAZOO, MICHIGAN – Best Western Inn – 640 East Cork Street (Intersection of I-94 and Sprinkle Road Exit). Room Reservations: 269-381-1900. $89.00 per night. (Mention that you are with “Discernment Ministries” when booking your room). Deadline on room rate is February 28.

Reservations: $10.00 per person (excluding students). Deadline for reservation is March 15th. Reservation at the door is $15.00.

APRIL 7 & 8

EASTPOINTE, MICHIGAN (suburbs of Detroit) – Christian Faith Fellowship – 17125 Stephens Road. Stephens if between 9 & 10 mile road off either Gratiot (east) or Little Mack (west). Upon registration, we will send you a map which shows the hotel, other hotels in the area, restaurants and the church. For directions to church call: 586-778-0458.

Hotel: Rooms have been reserved at the RS Eastin Hotel, 31960 Little Mack Ave., Roseville, Michigan. For reservations call 586-296-6700. $75.00 per night. The rooms are secured until March 24th. Mention “Christian Faith” when you book.

Reservations: $10.00 per person (excluding students). Reservations due by April 1. At the door - $15.00.